# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 5563

CVS PHARMACY #6772 4987 N. Fresno Street Fresno, California 93726 OAH No. 2016041039

Original Permit Number No. PHY 50119,

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER [KATHRYN MACY STOLTZ ONLY]

and

KATHRYN MACY STOLTZ 247 Delmont Avenue Louisville, KY 40206

Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 68379

Respondents.

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby adopted by the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on October 28, 2016.

It is so ORDERED on September 28, 2016.

BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Ву

Amy Gutierrez, Pharm.D. Board President

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General PHILLIP L. ARTHUR		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 238339		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125		
•	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550		
6	Telephone: (916) 322-0032 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643		
7	E-mail: Phillip.Árthur@doj.ca.gov  Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11		· ·	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5563	
13	CVS PHARMACY #6772 4987 N. Fresno Street	OAH No. 2016041039	
14	Fresno, California 93726	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
15	Original Permit Number No. PHY 50119,	DISCIPLINARY ORDER FOR PUBLIC REPROVAL [KATHRYN MACY	
16	and	STOLIZ ONLY]	
17	KATHRYN MACY STOLTZ 247 Delmont Avenue	[Bus. & Prof. Code § 495]	
18	Louisville, KY 40206		
19	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 68379		
20	Respondents.		
	NAMES OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P		
21			
22	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
23	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
24	<u>PARTIES</u>		
25	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy.		
26	She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Kamala		
27	D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Phillip L. Arthur, Deputy Attorney		
28	General.		
		1.	

Respondent Kathryn Macy Stoltz (Respondent Stoltz) is represented in this
proceeding by attorney Ivan Petrzelka, whose address is: Ivan Petrzelka, PharmD, JD, MBA,
Attorney at Law, Gemini Law, P.O. Box 552, Red Bluff, CA 95080.

#### <u>JURISDICTION</u>

- 3. On or about September 24, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Permit Number PHY 50119 to CVS Pharmacy #6772 (Respondent CVS). The Original Permit Number was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 5563, and will expire on June 1, 2017, unless renewed.
- 4. On or about October 22, 2012, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 68379 to Kathryn Macy Stoltz (Respondent Stoltz). The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2018, unless renewed.
- 5. Accusation No. 5563 was filed before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs and is currently pending against Respondents. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondents on April 6, 2016.

  Respondents timely filed their Notices of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 5563 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent Stoltz has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5563. Respondent Stoltz has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval.
- 7. Respondent Stoltz is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at her own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration

. 

III

and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

8. Respondent Stoltz voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 9. Respondent Stoltz admits that at hearing Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 5563, and that those charges and allegations are cause for discipline. Respondent Stoltz gives up her right to contest those charges and allegations.
- 10. Respondent Stoltz agrees that her Original Pharmacist License is subject to discipline and she agrees to be bound by the Disciplinary Order below.

## CONTINGENCY

- 11. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Board of Pharmacy. Respondent Stoltz understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Board of Pharmacy may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent Stoltz or her counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent Stoltz understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 12. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF), electronic, and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval, including Portable Document Format (PDF), electronic, and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

28

III

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Ivan Petrzelka. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Original Pharmacist License. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Board of Pharmacy.

DATED: 8/4/16 MATHRYNMACY STOLTZ
Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Kathryn Macy Stoltz the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval. I approve its form and content.

DATED: August 5, 2016

IVAN PETRZELKA Attorney for Respondent

#### ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order for Public Reproval is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Board of Pharmacy of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: 8/8/16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General

PHILLIP L. ARTHUR
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

SA2015104796 32548661,doc

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 5563

1 2 3 4 5	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General PHILLIP L. ARTHUR Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 238339 1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-0032		
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643  E-mail: Phillip.Arthur@doj.ca.gov		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PHARMACY DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11	To Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 5563	
13	CVS PHARMACY #6772 4987 N. Fresno Street	A CLESSIC A POT O DE	
14	Fresno, California 93726	ACCUSATION	
15	Original Permit Number No. PHY 50119,		
16	and		
17 18	KATHRYN MACY STOLTZ 2917 English Ave. Louisville, KY 40206		
19	Original Pharmacist License No. RPH 68379	,	
20	Respondents.		
21			
22	Complainant alleges:		
23	<u>PARTIES</u>		
24	1. Virginia Herold (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official capacity		
25	as the Executive Officer of the Board of Pharmacy, Department of Consumer Affairs.		
26	2. On or about September 24, 2009, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Permit		
2.7	Number PHY 50119 to CVS Pharmacy #6772 (Respondent CVS). The Original Permit Number		
28			
	1		
I	(CVS PHARMACY #6772 and KATHRYN MACY STOLTZ) ACCUSATION		

was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 1, 2016, unless renewed.

3. On or about October 22, 2012, the Board of Pharmacy issued Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 68379 to Kathryn Macy Stoltz (Respondent Stoltz). The Original Pharmacist License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2016, unless renewed.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 4. This Accusation is brought before the Board of Pharmacy (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
  - 5. Section 4300 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
  - "(a) Every license issued may be suspended or revoked. . . . "
  - 6. Section 4300.1 of the Code states:

"The expiration, cancellation, forfeiture, or suspension of a board-issued license by operation of law or by order or decision of the board or a court of law, the placement of a license on a retired status, or the voluntary surrender of a license by a licensee shall not deprive the board of jurisdiction to commence or proceed with any investigation of, or action or disciplinary proceeding against, the licensee or to render a decision suspending or revoking the license."

## BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE

Section 4022 of the Code states:

"Dangerous drug" or "dangerous device" means any drug or device unsafe for self use in humans or animals, and includes the following:

- "(a) Any drug that bears the legend: "Caution: federal law prohibits dispensing without prescription," "Rx only," or words of similar import.
- "(b) Any device that bears the statement: "Caution: federal law restricts this device to sale by or on the order of a \_\_\_\_\_\_," "Rx only," or words of similar import, the blank to be filled in with the designation of the practitioner licensed to use or order use of the device.

2,

"(c) Any other drug or device that by federal or state law can be lawfully dispensed only on prescription or furnished pursuant to Section 4006."

#### 8. Code section 4113 states:

"(a): Every pharmacy shall designate a pharmacist-in-charge and, within 30 days thereof, shall notify the board in writing of the identity and license number of that pharmacist and the date he or she was designated.

"(d) Every pharmacy shall notify the board in writing, on a form designed by the board, within 30 days of the date when a pharmacist-in-charge ceases to act as the pharmacist-in-charge, and shall on the same form propose another pharmacist to take over as the pharmacist-in-charge. The proposed replacement pharmacist-in-charge shall be subject to approval by the board. If disapproved, the pharmacy shall propose another replacement within 15 days of the date of disapproval and shall continue to name proposed replacements until a pharmacist-in-charge is approved by the board. . . ."

## CALIFORNIA CODE OF REGULATIONS

- 9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1714 states, in pertinent part:
- "(b) Each pharmacy licensed by the board shall maintain its facilities, space, fixtures, and equipment so that drugs are safely and properly prepared, maintained, secured and distributed. The pharmacy shall be of sufficient size and unobstructed area to accommodate the safe practice of pharmacy.

"(d) Each pharmacist while on duty shall be responsible for the security of the prescription department, including provisions for effective control against theft or diversion of dangerous drugs and devices, and records for such drugs and devices. Possession of a key to the pharmacy where dangerous drugs and controlled substances are stored shall be restricted to a pharmacist. . .

III

\*\*

 COST RECOVERY

10. Section 125.3 of the Code states, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

# CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE

11. "Hydrocodone w/APAP" (hydrocodone with acetaminophen tablets) is a semisynthetic narcotic analgesic, a dangerous drug as defined in Code section 4022, a Schedule II controlled substance and narcotic as defined by section 11055(b)(1)(I) of the Health and Safety Code, and a Schedule II controlled substance as defined by section 1308.12(b)(1)(vii) of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations.

#### BACKGROUND

#### Controlled Substance Losses

- 12. From May 1 through September 19, 2013, Respondent CVS reported a loss of 15,360 tablets of hydrocodone/apap 10/325mg. This audit was conducted on September 19, 2013.
- 13. From May 1 through October 17, 2013, Respondent CVS reported a loss of 16,675 tablets of hydrocodone/apap 10/325mg, resulting in an increase in loss of 1,315 tablets between September 19 and October 17, 2013. There was no explanation provided for the loss. This audit was conducted on October 17, 2013.
- 14. On or about October 25, 2013, the Board received notification from Respondent CVS of a loss of 11,945 tablets of hydrocodone/apap 10/325mg. The notification indicated the loss occurred on September 26, 2013.

#### Pharmacists-in-Charge

15. On or about October 23, 2014, Inspectors J. F. and K. R-P. inspected Respondent CVS. The Inspectors discovered that CVS had no active pharmacist-in-charge. Former Pharmacist-in-Charge Inouye dissociated on June 27, 2014. Later that day, Inspector K. R-P. received a change of pharmacist-in-charge form, indicating that an individual named Dodd would replace Inouye as pharmacist-in-charge at CVS.

## DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

21. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent CVS, Complainant alleges that on or about May 13, 2014, in a prior action, the Board of Pharmacy issued Citation Number CI 2013 58716 based upon CVS' violation of California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1716 (variation from prescription), when CVS dispensed prednisone 50mg tablets in a bottle labeled and prescribed as primidone 50mg without prior consent of the prescriber. That Citation is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Board of Pharmacy issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Original Permit Number PHY 50119, issued to CVS
   Pharmacy #6772;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Original Pharmacist License Number RPH 68379, issued to Kathryn Macy Stoltz;
- 3. Ordering CVS Pharmacy #6722 and Kathryn Macy Stoltz to pay the Board of Pharmacy the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3; and
  - 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

 3/18/16

VIRGINIA HEROLD

Executive Officer Board of Pharmacy

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SA2015104796 11981539.doc